Experimental assessment of circle vs. J hook performance and selectivity in the northern Gulf of Mexico recreational reef fish fishery

Steven B. Garner, William F. Patterson III, and Clay E. Porch

SEDAR62-RD-02

February 2019



ICES Journal of Marine Science

ICES International Council for the Exploration of the Sea CIEM Conseil International pour t'Exploration de la Mer

ICES Journal of Marine Science (2017), 74(5), 1437-1447. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsx001

Original Article

Experimental assessment of circle vs. J hook performance and selectivity in the northern Gulf of Mexico recreational reef fish fishery

Steven B. Garner¹*, William F. Patterson III², and Clay E. Porch³

¹Marine Sciences, University of South Alabama and Dauphin Island Sea Lab, 101 Bienville Boulevard, Dauphin Island, AL 36528, USA ²University of Florida, Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 7922 NW 71st Street, Gainesville, FL 32653, USA ³Sustainable Fisheries Division, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, 75 Virginia Beach Drive, Miami, FL 33149, USA

*Corresponding author: tel: +850-774-1936; e-mail: steve.garner.disl@gmail.com

Garner, S. B., Patterson, W. F., and Porch, C. E. 2017. Experimental assessment of circle vs. J hook performance and selectivity in the northern Gulf of Mexico recreational reef fish fishery. – ICES Journal of Marine Science, 74: 1437–1447.

Received 25 April 2016; revised 27 November 2016; accepted 3 December 2016; advance access publication 8 February 2017.

Few data exist to evaluate the performance or assess the potential impacts of hook regulations on catchability or selectivity of recreational fisheries in the northern Gulf of Mexico. The purpose of this study was to test the effects of hook type (circle vs. J hook) and hook size (1/0, 4/0, and 7/0) on catch composition, traumatic hooking, species-specific catches, and size-selectivity of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, and grey triggerfish, *Balistes capriscus*. Selectivity was estimated by conditioning size distributions from hook-specific catches against *in situ* size distributions observed with a remotely operated vehicle. Deep hooking (hook set in gills or beyond) was low in all hook treatments for red snapper (<10%) and grey triggerfish (<6%), but was generally higher with J hooks, especially for other fishes caught with the largest J hook (34%). Hook type did not significantly affect catches, but catches decreased significantly with increasing hook size in all groups except red snapper. Selectivity curves were dome-shaped for both focus species in all hook treatments and selection peaks were similar among treatments for red snapper. Peak selectivity was 78.1 mm larger for J hooks than circle hooks for grey triggerfish. Overall, study results indicate that the circle hook regulation may have reduced traumatic hooking mortality by up to 50%, and that catchability is similar between hook types for both red snapper and grey triggerfish when controlling for hook size. Strong dome-shaped selection estimated for nearly all selectivity curves suggest logistic size-selectivity assumptions in assessment models are likely inappropriate for recreational sectors targeting red snapper or grey triggerfish.

Keywords: circle hook, grey triggerfish, Gulf of Mexico selectivity, red snapper, reef fish, traumatic hooking.

Introduction

The reauthorized Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act mandated that overfishing must end in U.S. federal waters and bycatch and bycatch mortality must be reduced to the lowest extent practicable (MSFCMA, 2007). Recreational fishing effort in the northern Gulf of Mexico (nGOM) is among the highest in the U.S. (Coleman *et al.*, 2004), and traditional management strategies used to regulate fishing mortality result in tens of millions of discarded reef fishes each year (NMFS, 2011). Diverse fish communities inhabiting continental shelf reef sites (Dance *et al.*, 2011) prevent fishermen from effectively avoiding non-target species during closed seasons and undersized individuals during open seasons (Garner and Patterson, 2015). In addition to the effects of barotrauma, the catch-and-release process can further reduce discard survival through increased air exposure, excessive bleeding, and damage to vital organs (Burns *et al.*, 2002; Cooke and Suski, 2004).

Amendment 27 to the Reef Fish Fishery Management Plan (RF-FMP) mandated recreational fishermen use circle hooks when targeting reef fishes in the nGOM to reduce traumatic

© International Council for the Exploration of the Sea 2017. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

Table 1. Experimental hooks, model number, sample size (number of sites fished *n*), and dimensions (mm) of Mustad circle and J hooks used in this study.



	Mustad		distance a	distance	distance c	distance
Hook	Model #	n	(total length)	b (width)	(front length)	d (gape)
1/0 J	3407DT	10	36.13	14.22	13.88	11.63
4/0 J	3407SSD	12	46.49	19.86	18.86	14.77
7/0 J	3407DT	11	64.19	26.71	23.29	21.88
1/0 C	39945BLN	11	18.48	16.81	11.80	8.17
4/0 C	39945BLN	13	25.73	21.96	15.24	12.74
7/0 C	39945BLN	15	34.45	30.14	21.92	15.53

Image indicates dimension measured.

hooking related discard mortality of red snapper (GMFMC, 2007). Many authors have demonstrated the conservation benefits from circle hook use for a variety of fishes without significant reductions in catch (see review by Cooke and Suski, 2004). However, traumatic hooking rates can actually increase when hook size is extreme relative to fish gape (Cooke et al., 2005). Prior to 2008, no peer reviewed empirical assessment had been conducted to examine the conservation benefits of circle hooks for reef fishes targeted in the nGOM. Fishery managers and other stakeholders have expressed concern that the gear regulations mandated in Amendment 27 may have altered the selectivity patterns in the reef fish fishery or the mortality rate of discarded fish (GMFMC, 2007). If true, failing to account for these changes can result in poor estimation of important size classes (e.g. older spawners) that affect stock status estimates and decrease model sensitivity to changes in stock biomass (Arreguín-Sánchez, 1996; Ichinokawa et al., 2014; Sampson, 2014). Fishery selectivity can vary at multiple spatial and temporal scales in response to changes in fleet behaviour or gear regulations (Linton and Bence, 2011; Sampson and Scott, 2011; Martell and Stewart, 2014). Although it is difficult to envision changes in the spatial distribution of the fishery due to circle hook regulations, it seems reasonable that the switch to circle hooks would primarily affect a change in contact selectivity. Recent studies have been conducted to examine contact selectivity across the full range of circle hook sizes commonly used to target reef fishes in the nGOM recreational sector (e.g. Patterson et al., 2012; Garner et al., 2014), but selectivity has not yet been compared between circle and J hooks.

The objectives of this study were to examine the effect of hook type and hook size on the species composition of the catch, traumatic hooking, species-specific catches, and selectivity of red snapper, *Lutjanus campechanus*, and grey triggerfish, *Balistes capriscus*, via fishing experiments conducted at artificial reef sites in the nGOM. Red snapper was a focus species given that its conservation was the principal management goal in Amendment 27, under the assumption that circle hook usage would reduce release mortality, thus aiding stock rebuilding for this overfished species (GMFMC, 2007). Grey triggerfish was a focus species given its overfished status and concerns that the shift to circle hooks may have caused a change in the relationship between catch per unit effort (CPUE) and fishery-dependent abundance indices (SEDAR, 2011; SEDAR, 2015a).

Material and methods Sample collection

Sampling trips were conducted between October 2013 and July 2014 aboard four charter boats with home ports between Destin, FL and Orange Beach, AL. All four captains had > 20 years of experience as permitted participants in the nGOM reef fish fishery. Relatively small (total reef volume $< 25 \text{ m}^3$) artificial reef sites were chosen haphazardly by Captains without influence from researchers. Prior to fishing each site, a VideoRay Pro4 micro remotely operated vehicle was deployed to survey the reef fish community with the point count method described in Patterson et al. (2009). Only relatively isolated (distance to nearest neighbouring reef >0.5 km) artificial reef sites were sampled to avoid confounding effects of experimental fishing attracting reef fishes from neighbouring reefs. The ROV was equipped with a red laser scaler (parallel 5-mW 635-nm class IIIa lasers mounted 7.5 cm apart) to estimate reef fish length from video samples (Patterson et al., 2009). A GoPro Hero 3+ was mounted on the front of the ROV to provide high definition video to identify fishes.

Reef fish community structure was estimated from GoPro video files in the laboratory and all fishes observed were identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible. Fish length (mm) was estimated by calculating the ratio of the distance between lasers and fork length (FL) measured on the video monitor. Length estimates were bias corrected based on a random probability draw from a normal distribution with mean equal to 3% and standard

deviation equal to 0.6% (Patterson *et al.*, 2009). Fork length estimates were converted to TL for the appropriate species using species-specific linear regressions derived from individuals captured in previous studies (Dance *et al.*, 2011; Addis *et al.*, 2013).

Upon completing the ROV survey at each site, six fishermen deployed two-hook bottom fishing rigs that consisted of a 1.5-m main leader constructed of 30-kg monofilament and two 0.5-m leaders extending from the main leader. Terminal tackle tied to each of these secondary leaders consisted of experimental hooks: 1/0, 4/0, or 7/0 Mustad model 39945BLN circle hooks or 1/0, 4/0, or 7/0 Mustad model 3407DT/SSD J hooks (Table 1). The hook models and sizes used in this experiment were chosen specifically to minimize differences in dimensions between hook types paired by size (e.g. 1/0 circle and J hooks). Hook types paired by size had a mean difference $(\pm SE)$ in hook width, front length, and gape dimensions of 2.71 (0.67), 2.36 (1.15), and 3.95 (2.20) mm, respectively, (Table 1). A single hook treatment (i.e. size*type combination, e.g. 1/0 J hook) was fished at a given site, with one hook on each bottom rig baited with cut squid (Loligo sp.) and the other with cut fish (northern mackerel, Scomber scombrus). The hook treatment fished among sites on a given day was selected with systematic random sampling.

Experimental fishing was conducted for 30 min at each sampled reef. Captured fishes were identified to species, measured to the nearest mm (FL and TL), and weighed to the nearest 0.01 kg. Hooking location was recorded for each fish and scored as top, bottom, or corner jaw, deep (gills, esophagus, or stomach) or foul hooked (body). Deep and foul hooked locations composed the traumatic hooking category while all three jaw locations composed the non-traumatic hooking category. All fishes not retained for hard parts sampling were released immediately after data was recorded, but no attempt was made to ascertain the fate of discarded fishes (i.e. survival or mortality). All triggerfish and every nth red snapper were retained for aging, with a goal of sampling 20 red snapper and 20 grey triggerfish per trip. Age was estimated for red snapper via opaque zone counts in sagittal otoliths (Patterson et al., 2001) and grey triggerfish age was estimated via translucent zone counts in dorsal spine sections (Johnson and Saloman, 1984). After fishing each site, a Sea-Bird 19plus V2 SeaCAT Profiler was deployed to measure depth (m), water temperature (°C), salinity, and dissolved oxygen (mg L⁻¹). Wave height (m) also was recorded.

Statistical analyses

Eight of the reef fish species included in the Gulf Council's Reef Fish Fishery Management Plan were observed and included in statistical analyses of community structure: red snapper, grey triggerfish, vermilion snapper (Rhomboplites aurorubens), red porgy (Pagrus pagrus), lane snapper (Lutjanus synagris), greater amberjack (Seriola dumerili), grey snapper (Lutjanus griseus), and groupers (Epinephelus spp. and Mycteroperca spp). Tomtate (Haeumulon aurolineatum) were also included in community structure analyses due to their frequency and abundance at reef sites and use as bait by recreational fishermen (Garner and Patterson, 2015). Statistical analyses were conducted in Primer 6 (version 6.1.15; PRIMER-E Ltd) with PERMANOVA+ (version 1.0.5; Anderson et al., 2008) to test the effect of hook type and hook size on catch composition and all other statistical analyses conducted in R (version 3.1.1; R Core Team, 2014). The experiment-wise error rate was set to $\alpha = 0.05$ a priori for all significance tests. A two-factor permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) model was computed to test for differences in reef fish species composition between ROV video surveys and hook-specific catches. The Bray-Curtis similarity matrix was calculated on square-root transformed count data. The PERMANOVA model was computed using 9999 permutations with pairwise PERMANOVAs run when main effects were significant (Anderson *et al.*, 2008).

Logistic regression models were computed to test the effect of hook type and size on the probability of traumatic hooking for each of the four groups. Ln-transformed fork length (mm) was included as a covariate in each logistic regression model, and the effects of hook type, hook size, and FL were assumed to be additive (Fox and Weisberg, 2011; Baguley, 2012). The effects of hook type and hook size on catches of all reef fishes, red snapper, and grey triggerfish were tested with negative binomial regression (generalized linear model) including the environmental covariates (i.e. depth, dissolved oxygen, water temperature, salinity, and wave height) in the R package "Mass" (Venables and Ripley, 2002). Due to the high percentage of zeroes in catches (zero other fishes were caught at 18% of reef sites), other reef fishes (i.e. excluding red snapper and grey triggerfish) were modelled with zero-inflated negative binomial regression in the R package "pscl" (Zeileis et al., 2008) with the equation

$$Y \sim f(y_j) \begin{cases} 0 & P(Y=0)1 - P_i \\ \sim NB \ (e^{b_0 + b_{xxi} + \dots + b_{nxn}}, \ \sigma^2) \ P(Y>0) = P_i \end{cases}$$
(1)

where the catch at each reef site (y_j) is modelled as both a binomial function fit to the observed counts of other fishes at each site from the ROV survey and as a negative binomial function of hook type and hook size including environmental covariates (b_i) (Fox and Weisberg, 2011; Baguley, 2012).

Hook-specific selectivity functions were estimated separately for red snapper and grey triggerfish in AD Model Builder (Fournier *et al.*, 2012) following the method described in Patterson *et al.* (2012). Assuming natural mortality is negligible during sampling events, relatively intense fishing effort concomitant with the limited spatial extent of reef sites allow the estimation of catch and ROV observations with the equations

$$C_{lhk} = \frac{f_{hk}q_h S_{lh} N_{lk}(1 - e^{-F_{lk}})}{F_l}$$

$$V_{lk} = \nu dN_{lk}$$

$$F_{lk} = \sum_h f_{hk}q_h S_{lh}$$
(2)

where C_{lhk} is the number of fish of length l caught by each hook treatment h at each site k, V_{lk} is the number of each species scaled by lasers, and N_{lk} is the number of fish of length l at site k. The variables v and d represent the visual effort and relative detectability of the ROV survey, while the variables f and q represent the fishing effort and relative power of each hook type; S represents the selection function. Hook-specific ROV effort (e) was calculated as the number of sites fished with each hooktreatment. Hook-specific fishing effort (f) was calculated by multiplying the number of sites fished with each hook treatment by the number of fishermen fishing at each site. The detectability parameter d is confounded with the fishing power parameters (q_h) without additional information to estimate F. Therefore, d was fixed conservatively to a value of 0.1 for red snapper and 0.5 for grey triggerfish, assuming that approximately 10% of red snapper and 50% of grey triggerfish present at each site were measured. ROV survey data were pooled across all sample sites fished with the same hook-treatment and catch data were similarly pooled across sites. Data were pooled across all hook sizes for each hook type in the general circle or J hook models.

Values for *C* and *V* are observed while the effort parameters v and *f* are controlled with little error necessitating estimation of only *q*, *S*, and *N*. Assuming the size distribution of fish is measured with little error during the visual survey the system of equations can be rearranged to give

$$C_{lhk} = \frac{f_{hk}q_h S_{lk} V_{lk} (1 - e^{-F_{lk}})}{\nu dF_{lk}}$$
(3)

Assuming the total species-specific catch for each hook size is approximately normally distributed N (μ , σ^2) and the proportion of the catch for each length bin ($p_{lhk} = C_{lhk}/C_{hk}$) is approximately multinomial with mean $E\{X_i\} = np_i$ and variance $Var(X_i) = np(1-p_i)$, maximum likelihood for q (relative fishing power) and S in each hook-specific model can be estimated by minimizing the negative log-likelihood expression

$$L = 0.5 \sum_{h,k} \left[\left(\frac{c_{hk}^{obs} - c_{hk}}{\sigma} \right)^2 - \log_e \sigma^2 \right] + \sum_{h,k} n_{h,k} \sum_l p_{lhk}^{obs} \log_e p_{lhk}$$
(4)

where n is the effective sample size and the superscript *obs* is used to distinguish the observed data from the predicted value.

The selectivity parameter S was modelled as a mathematical function of length l using the exponential-logistic equation:

$$S_l = \frac{e^{\beta \alpha(\theta - l)}}{1 - \beta(1 - e^{\alpha(\theta - l)})}$$
(5)

where α is the shape parameter of the ascending limb, β is the shape parameter of the descending limb, θ is the median size at peak selectivity, and *l* is the midpoint of each size bin. Initial parameter values were assumed to be similar among all hook-specific selectivity models with the parameter controlling the descending limb (β) assumed near zero (i.e. flat-topped). Parameters were then fit in a stepwise fashion. Nested models were run with the β parameter fixed at zero to determine if estimating β parameters significantly improved model fit vs. the null hypothesis that each $\beta_i \approx 0$. Log-likelihood values from models with fixed (reduced models) or estimated β_i 's (full models) were compared with likelihood ratio tests with degrees of freedom equal to the difference in *k* estimated parameters between full and reduced models and $P(\chi_k^2 \ge \Delta G^2; \alpha = 0.05)$ calculated as

$$\Delta G^2 = 2(LL_{\text{reduced}} - LL_{\text{full}}) \tag{6}$$

Results

A total of 56 001 individuals comprising 55 species were identified in ROV video surveys from 72 artificial reef sites, 19 009 individuals comprised the nine fishery species included in statistical analyses. Each hook treatment was fished at 10–15 different artificial reef sites (Table 1). Fishery species comprised 48.9% of all individuals observed in ROV surveys, with tomtate and red snapper constituting 49.0 and 34.5% of fishery species, respectively



Figure 1. Percentage of fishery species observed in remotely operated vehicle (ROV) video samples of northern Gulf of Mexico reef fish communities or in hook-specific catches. Species abbreviations: RS = red snapper, TT = tomtate, GT = grey triggerfish, VS = vermilion snapper, RP = red porgy, and Other = lane snapper, greater amberjack, grey snapper, and groupers. Greater amberjack, grey snapper, and groupers comprised 2.4, 2.4, and 0.3% of fishes observed in ROV surveys, respectively. Other fishes comprised $\leq 1\%$ of hook-specific catches. Sample sizes are shown atop bars.

(Figure 1). The species composition observed during ROV video samples was significantly different from the species composition in each of the hook-specific catches (PERMANOVA; all p < 0.001). Among hook-specific catches, the effect of hook type was not significant (p = 0.875) but hook size (p = 0.003) significantly affected species composition; the interaction term was not significant (p = 0.817). In pairwise comparisons of hook size, species composition of the catch for 7/0 hooks was significantly different from catches for 1/0 (p = 0.006) and 4/0 (p = 0.009) hooks. Compared to their relative abundance in ROV video samples, red snapper and grey triggerfish were caught in greater proportion in all hook treatments. Among hook-specific catches, tomtate, and grey triggerfish comprised similar proportions among all hook sizes, while red snapper catch proportions were similar among J hook sizes but increased with increasing circle hook size (Figure 1). The greatest proportion of catch of vermilion snapper was observed in the 7/0 J hook treatment (Figure 1). Groupers (primarily scamp, Mycteroperca phenax), other lutjanids (i.e. lane snapper and grey snapper), and greater amberjack were rarely caught $(\leq 1\%)$ with any hook treatment.

Overall, the traumatic hooking rate (i.e. proportion of individuals hooked deeply or foul hooked) was < 10% in all but four hook treatments among fish groups (Figure 2). Traumatic hooking occurred in < 9.0% of all reef fishes, < 10% of red snapper, and < 6.0% of grey triggerfish. However, with red snapper and grey triggerfish excluded (i.e. other fishes), traumatic hooking incidence increased with increasing hook size from 0.7% with 1/0 circle hooks to 33.7% with 7/0 J hooks (Figure 2d). Of the other fishes, only tomtate and vermilion snapper were hooked traumatically; 92.3% and 72.5% of traumatic hooking events occurred in J hook treatments for these two species, respectively. The probability that fish suffered traumatic hooking was significantly affected by FL (logistic regression, $p \le 0.001$), hook type $(p \le 0.001)$, and hook size $(p \le 0.001)$ in the all reef fishes model, with the probability of traumatic hooking decreasing with increasing fish size and being greater for larger hooks and J hooks



Figure 2. Hooking location for (a) all fishes, (b) red snapper, (c) grey triggerfish, and (d) other fishes caught with each hook treatment. Location abbreviations: DH = deeply hooked (gill arches or beyond), FH = foul hooked (hooked on body), TJ = top jaw, BJ = bottom jaw, and CJ = corner of jaw. The number of observations in each treatment is shown atop bars.

Group	Comparison	Coefficient	Odds ratio	SE	z value	<i>p-</i> value	
0) on traumatic hooking probability for all fishes, red snapper (RS), grey triggerfish (GT), and other fishes (excluding RS and GT).							
Table 2. Output from logistic regression models comparing the effect of FL (mm), hook type (circle vs. J hook), and hook size (1/0, 4/0, or 7/							

Group	Comparison	Coefficient	Odds ratio	SE	z value	<i>p-</i> value
All fishes	FL	-0.004	0.995	0.001	-4.525	<0.001
	J vs. circle	1.227	3.412	0.202	6.071	<0.001
	4/0 vs. 1/0	0.427	1.533	0.236	1.807	0.071
	7/0 vs. 1/0	1.266	3.547	0.215	5.897	<0.001
Red snapper	FL	-0.001	0.999	0.002	-0.667	0.504
	J vs. circle	1.089	2.972	0.274	3.979	<0.001
	4/0 vs. 1/0	-0.114	0.890	0.310	-0.373	0.709
	7/0 vs. 1/0	-0.005	0.995	0.306	-0.017	0.987
Grey triggerfish	FL	0.017	1.017	0.005	3.141	0.002
	J vs. circle	1.693	5.433	0.917	1.847	0.065
	4/0 vs. 1/0	-0.824	0.439	0.982	-0.840	0.401
	7/0 vs. 1/0	1.436	4.204	0.858	1.675	0.094
Other fishes	FL	-0.013	0.988	0.003	-3.612	<0.001
	J vs. circle	1.121	3.068	0.357	3.135	0.002
	4/0 vs. 1/0	1.459	4.300	0.440	3.316	0.001
	7/0 vs. 1/0	2.871	17.656	0.410	7.002	<0.001

Coefficients indicate the change in the log (ln) odds of traumatic hooking with each unit change in X_i (FL) or relative to the base treatment level (1/0 hook). Odds ratios (the back-transformed probability values), standard errors (SE), and critical values (z statistic) are also shown; $\alpha = 0.05$.

(Table 2). Hook type ($p \le 0.001$) was a significant factor in the red snapper logistic regression model, with J hooks being 2.9 times more likely to result in a traumatic hooking event. Only FL (p=0.002) was significant in the grey triggerfish model, with the probability of traumatic hooking decreasing for larger fish. Although hook type was not significant (p=0.065), the odds of grey triggerfish being traumatically hooked were 5.4 times higher for J vs. circle hooks. All variables significantly affected traumatic hooking probability for other fishes (p < 0.001) with the 7/0 hook being 17.7 times more likely to result in a traumatic hooking event compared to a 1/0 hook.

Generalized linear models indicated that hook type did not significantly affect catches of all fishes (p = 0.578), red snapper (p=0.947), grey triggerfish (p=0.375), or other fishes (p=0.593) (Figure 3). Hook size had a significant effect on catches of all fishes and grey triggerfish but not red snapper or other fishes. Relative to 7/0 hooks, 4/0 and 1/0 hooks positively increased the expected catch estimate of all fishes (4/0: log count = 0.34, p=0.094; 1/0: log count = 0.72, p < 0.001) and grey triggerfish (4/0: log count = 1.22, p=0.005; 1/0: log count = 1.26, p=0.0942; 1/0: p=0.082) or other fishes catches (4/0: log count = 0.44, p=0.254; 1/0: log count = 0.51, p=0.159) relative to 7/0 hooks. The visual count of other fishes was a significant predictor of other fishes catch (p=0.002) with the log odds for zero catch of other fishes decreasing by 0.07 with each unit



Figure 3. Plots of mean standardized catches estimated with generalized linear models for (a) all fishes, (b) red snapper, (c) grey triggerfish, and (d) other fishes for each hook treatment. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 4. Relative frequency size distributions of red snapper (a) scaled by lasers and (b) caught with experimental hooks pooled across reef sites fished with each hook treatment. The number of observations is shown on each panel.



Figure 5. Relative frequency size distributions of grey triggerfish (a) scaled by lasers and (b) caught with experimental hooks pooled across reef sites fished with each hook treatment. The number of observations is shown on each panel.

increase in other fishes present at reef sites. The Vuong test indicated the zero-inflated negative binomial provided a significantly improved fit (z-statistic = 4.3, p < 0.001) over the simple negative binomial model (Zeileis *et al.*, 2008). Environmental covariates did not have a significant effect on catches except for depth, which had a significant negative effect on catch in the all fishes model (log catch = -0.04; p = 0.012) and other fishes model (log catch = -0.12; p = 0.007).

Size distributions of laser-scaled red snapper (Figure 4a) were broader than those observed in hook-specific catches (Figure 4b). Modes of laser scaled red snapper occurred between 350 and 450 mm TL in all hook treatments except for 1/0 J hooks, for which the mode was approximately 320 mm TL. A key difference between red snapper catch size distributions vs. laser-scaled distributions from ROV video was that fish greater than 600 mm TL were observed in all laser-scaled size distributions but were nearly absent from all hook-specific catches. Grey triggerfish had smaller sample sizes than red snapper for both laser-scaled individuals (Figure 5a) and hook-specific catches (Figure 5b), with modes occurring between 300 and 400 mm FL in nearly all hook treatments. For both species, lesser catches with larger hooks resulted in fewer sampled individuals, especially for grey triggerfish.

Selection curves estimated for red snapper were dome-shaped for all hook treatments (Figure 6a), as well as for models computed with pooled circle or J hook data (Figure 6c; Table 3). Models in which β parameters were estimated resulted in significantly improved fits in both hook-specific and pooled-data models (Table 4). Among hook-specific models, the smallest size at peak selection occurred for 4/0 J hooks (267 mm TL) and was largest for 7/0 J hooks (410 mm TL), a difference of 143 mm (Table 3). However, peak selectivity occurred below the current red snapper recreational minimum length limit (MLL) in all hook treatments except the 7/0 J hook, which exceeded the MLL by only 4 mm. Peak selection was similar between paired circle and J hook sizes and differed by only 20 mm TL between hook types in pooled-data models. Estimated selection probability decreased more slowly in the 7/0 J hook treatment with 631 mm TL red snapper having a 0.5 selection probability compared to < 0.20 at 631 mm TL in all other hook treatments. Selectivity of all hook treatments other than 7/0 J hooks was strongly dome shaped.



Figure 6. Maximum likelihood selectivity functions estimated for red snapper (a and c) and grey triggerfish (b and d). Plots of hook-specific selectivity functions appear in the top row (a and b), while plots shown in the bottom row were computed with data pooled across all sizes of each hook type (c and d). Vertical grey lines indicate the current minimum size limit for red snapper (406 mm TL) and grey triggerfish (356 mm FL) in the recreational fishery.

Selection curves estimated for grey triggerfish were also strongly dome-shaped for all but 7/0 J hooks (Figure 6b); circle and J hook models computed with pooled data also were strongly dome-shaped (Figure 6d). Estimating β parameters significantly improved fit in all hook-specific models (p < 0.05) except the null model (p = 0.095) for grey triggerfish (Table 4). Size at peak selection was variable and showed no trend with increasing hook size for either hook type. Peak selection was estimated above the current grey triggerfish recreational MLL of 356 mm FL for the 1/0 circle and 4/0 J hook treatments only. Selectivity models estimated with data pooled across hook sizes within a given hook type resulted in peak selectivity estimates above the current grey triggerfish MLL (356 mm FL) for J hooks (411 mm FL) but not circle hooks (333 mm FL; Table 3; Figure 6d).

Discussion

Empirical data presented here suggest that the circle hook regulation likely reduced traumatic hooking rates of nGOM reef fishes. Overall, traumatic hooking rates were low (< 10%) for red snapper and grey triggerfish, but the reduction in traumatic hooking facilitated by circle hook use ($\sim 50\%$) would translate to hundreds of thousands fewer regulatory discards experiencing a traumatic hooking event. Our study provides a robust evaluation of the circle hook effect because we avoided use of pseudo-circle hooks (Cooke and Suski, 2004) and hook type treatments were paired by size to control for potential confounding by differing hook dimensions. Failure to control for different hook dimensions in empirical studies may lead to spurious conclusions because hook size has a strong effect on the incidence of traumatic hooking (Cooke *et al.*, 2005) and catch metrics, particularly catch rates (Mapleston *et al.*, 2008; Patterson *et al.*, 2012; Garner *et al.*, 2014). We also controlled for potential confounding from competing hook treatments by fishing a single hook treatment at a given reef site and randomizing hook treatments among a large number of sites.

Circle hook use showed much greater potential conservation benefit to other reef fishes for which traumatic hooking was 18 times more likely when fishing with large J hooks. Circle hooks are generally thought to function most effectively, and maximize conservation benefit, when fishermen target suction feeding fishes capable of fully engulfing the hook into the bucco-pharyngeal cavity (Cooke and Suski, 2004; Cooke et al., 2005; Lukacovic and Uphoff, 2007). A moderately large oral gape relative to body size enabled tomtate and vermilion snapper to consume the large hook treatments while facilitating proper circle hook functionality. In contrast to the conservation benefits observed for suction feeding fishes (Cooke et al., 2005; Lukacovic and Uphoff, 2007), both grey triggerfish and red porgy may be less likely to deeply ingest a hook before a fishermen detects a bite due to speciesspecific feeding behaviours. Other authors have reported significant conservation benefit to GOM reef fishes from circle hook use (Sauls and Ayala, 2012). However, our data showed much lower traumatic hooking rates for red snapper and no traumatic hooking of other large predatory reef fishes. One explanation for the difference in findings is that traumatic hooking rates may be affected by gear orientation in addition to hook type and size (Beckwith and Rand, 2005). A two-hook bottom rig was chosen to emulate fishing techniques commonly used by charterboat fishermen when targeting smaller reef fishes in the nGOM. However, nGOM recreational fishermen also commonly target red snapper and larger reef fishes with a single medium or large hook attached at the terminal end of a long (~ 2 m) leader tied to

Table 3. Maximum likelihood parameter estimates for red snapper and grey triggerfish selectivity with data pooled across experimental hook types (C and J), as well as parameter estimates from hookspecific models.

Species	Hook treatment	α	β	θ
Red snapper	С	0.056	0.192	307.3
	J	0.059	0.101	327.7
	1/0 C	0.093	0.152	298.0
	1/0 J	0.069	0.190	341.7
	4/0 C	0.762	0.019	284.7
	4/0 J	0.842	0.008	267.3
	7/0 C	0.032	0.391	376.8
	7/0 J	0.026	0.146	409.5
Grey triggerfish	С	0.045	0.254	332.8
	J	0.032	0.652	410.9
	1/0 C	0.040	0.746	400.8
	1/0 J	0.010	0.001	332.6
	4/0 C	0.411	0.022	287.1
	4/0 J	0.047	0.554	446.4
	7/0 C	0.049	0.165	311.3
	7/0 J	0.558	0.001	265.3

The parameter θ = median fish TL (mm) at full selectivity. The parameters α and β are both shape determining parameters.

Table 4. Likelihood ratio tests fit for full or reduced selectivity
models for red snapper and grey triggerfish.

Species	Source	df	MLE	G²	<i>p-</i> value
Red snapper	Null _{pooled}	2	3182.8	51.5	<0.001
	C _{pooled}	1	3185.1	56.1	<0.001
	Jpooled	1	3160.5	7.0	0.008
	Full _{pooled}		3157.0		
	Null	6	3342.3	132.5	<0.001
	1/0 C	1	3313.2	74.4	<0.001
	1/0 J	1	3298.4	44.7	<0.001
	4/0 C	1	3315.9	79.8	<0.001
	4/0 J	1	3299.3	46.6	<0.001
	7/0 C	1	3316.2	80.4	<0.001
	7/0 J	1	3314.9	77.8	<0.001
	Full		3276.0		
Grey triggerfish	Null _{pooled}	2	494.0	4.3	0.114
	C _{pooled}	1	492.2	0.7	0.403
	, J _{pooled}	1	493.7	3.7	0.056
	Full _{pooled}		491.9		
	Null	6	510.3	10.8	0.095
	1/0 C	1	509.6	9.4	0.002
	1/0 J	1	509.8	9.8	0.002
	4/0 C	1	507.7	5.7	0.017
	4/0 J	1	510.3	10.8	0.001
	7/0 C	1	509.0	8.2	0.004
	7/0 J	1	510.0	10.1	0.002
	Full		504.9		

a swivel below a 150–250 g slip sinker (Garner and Patterson, 2015). Fishing rigs in which a heavy sinker is placed prior to the hook and not affixed to the line likely reduce a fisherman's ability to detect a strike and increase the probability that a fish will deeply ingest the hook (Beckwith and Rand, 2005; Grixti *et al.*, 2007).

Assessing capture efficiency (as a proxy for catchability) in response to changes in gear regulations is particularly important to facilitate acceptance of new gear by fishermen and for consistency with fishery-dependent CPUE indices in assessment models. Reduced hooking efficiency with circle hooks has been reported for some fish species in the literature, but the authors compared proportions of captures to total hook sets for non-isolated target species (e.g. Cooke et al., 2003; Jones, 2005; Sales et al., 2010; Lennox et al., 2015); were unable to control for gear orientation or hook dimensions (Bacheler and Buckel, 2004; Sauls and Ayala, 2012); or utilized hook-and-line gear types not-comparable to those used in this study (e.g. Arterburn and Berry, 2002; Kerstetter and Graves, 2006; Vecchio and Wenner, 2007; Pacheco et al., 2011). After controlling for hook dimensions and correcting for environmental covariates, we found no evidence for an effect of hook type on catches for a variety of nGOM reef fishes commonly targeted by the recreational fishery. Hook size had a significant effect on catches for most groups suggesting empirical results are likely confounded when hook dimensions are not controlled in the experimental design.

Circle hook use was thought to be minimal in the nGOM recreational reef fish fishery prior to 2008. Therefore, shifts in catchability due to the circle hook requirement in 2008, if present and unaccounted for, could bias indices of abundance computed from fishery-dependent data (Linton and Bence, 2011; Martell and Stewart, 2014). Fishermen have voiced concerns to the GMFMC regarding decreased catches (e.g. grey triggerfish) after the circle hook requirement went into effect in 2008, and grey triggerfish catchability was thought to have declined by 47% The model in which the hypothesis that a given $\beta_i = 0$ is listed in the Source column; all β_i 's were set equal to zero in null models and all β_i 's were estimated in full models. Also shown for each test are the degrees of freedom (df = df_{full model} - df_{reduced model}), maximum likelihood estimate (MLE; negative log likelihood), test statistic (G^2), and one-tailed probability value (*p*-value) from the χ^2 distribution. *p*-values \leq 0.05 indicate a significant improvement in model fit compared to the full model.

following the mandate (SEDAR, 2015a). However, the assumption of decreased catchability originated from an unpublished study that did not control for either hook type or hook size. Standardized recreational CPUE indices presented in the 2015 stock assessment report indicate a decrease in grey triggerfish catches for some fishery sectors only during the first year of the mandate with a concomitant increase in CPUE observed for some eastern GOM recreational sectors during the same year (SEDAR, 2015a). Our results indicate no effect of circle and J hooks on catches when controlling for hook size, thus are inconsistent with a decline in catchability following the circle hook regulation. Rather, declining catch rates for grey triggerfish during the post-2007 data collection period may correspond to concomitant declines in stock biomass (SEDAR, 2015a). Clearly, catchability estimates have important implications for estimates of grey triggerfish spawning stock biomass, productivity, and the rebuilding schedule.

Changes in selectivity associated with gear regulations can result in poor estimation of fishing mortality rates exerted on fully selected age-classes and sustainable harvest levels (Sampson, 1993; Ichinokawa *et al.*, 2014; Sampson, 2014). Results from this study indicated smaller grey triggerfish were selected with circle hooks but similar-sized red snapper were selected with both hook types. Models fit to data pooled across hook sizes of a given type represent a more robust estimate of gear selectivity in the fishery because fishermen currently use a wide variety of circle hook sizes and designs when targeting reef fishes (Garner and Patterson, 2015) and presumably used a similarly wide range of J hook sizes prior to 2008. For red snapper, the difference in size at peak selection between hook types equates to less than one age-class for a species that lives in excess of 50 years and can achieve TL > 900 mm (SEDAR, 2013). Similar selection curves have been reported in previous studies when targeting red snapper with similarly-sized and larger circle hooks than were tested in this study (Patterson et al., 2012; Garner et al., 2014), and J hooks appear to have similar selection peaks and functional form. Despite little empirical evidence for a selection shift, specifying timevarying selection after 2007 in red snapper stock assessments did improve model fits to observed fishery-dependent data (SEDAR, 2013; SEDAR, 2015b). Empirical evidence reported here suggests that incorporating flexible form equations and including timevarying components in the updated grey triggerfish stock assessment (SEDAR, 2015a) improved upon previous assessment models in which logistic selection was assumed (SEDAR, 2011). Dome-shaped selection and increased selection of smaller sized grey triggerfish by circle hook gear may have reduced sensitivity to changes in population abundance for fishery-dependent indices. Dome-shaped selection in the recreational fishery emphasizes the importance of fishery-independent video surveys for which selectivity is assumed to be asymptotic and thus, sensitive to population-level changes in larger, older spawners.

Overall, results reported here are consistent with the overwhelming majority of peer reviewed studies that previously reported significant conservation benefit resulting from the use of circle hooks. Controlling for hook dimensions between hook types, which should also be conducted in future studies of hook performance, permitted reliable tests of the effect of hook type on reef fish catches. Our results indicate that the circle hook regulation instituted in US federal waters of the GOM in 2008 likely provided conservation benefit to several reef fish species without altering catches.

Acknowledgements

Funding for this work was provided by a grant from the NOAA Fisheries Cooperative Research Program (#NA12NMF4540078) and a grant from the NOAA Fisheries/Sea Grant Graduate Fellowship program (MASGC Project Number: E/O-88) to SBG, WFP, and CEP. We gratefully acknowledge all charterboat captains and their crews for the invaluable assistance they provided throughout this study. We also thank the numerous volunteer anglers who participated in fishing experiments.

References

- Addis, D. T., Patterson III, W. F., Dance, M. A., and Ingram Jr., G. W. 2013. Implications of reef fish movement from unreported artificial reef sites in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Fisheries Research, 147: 349–358.
- Anderson, M. J., Gorley, R. N., and Clarke, K. R. 2008. PERMANOV+ for PRIMER: guide to software and statistical methods. PRIMER-E, Plymouth, UK.
- Arreguín-Sánchez, F. 1996. Catchability: a key parameter for fish stock assessment. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 6: 221–242.
- Arterburn, J. E., and Berry, C. R. Jr. 2002. Effect of hook style, bait type, and river location on trotline catches of flathead and channel catfish. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 22: 573–578.

- Baguley, T. 2012. Serious stats: a guide to advanced statistics for the behavioral sciences. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, England. 830 pp.
- Beckwith, G. H., and Rand, P. S. 2005. Large circle hooks and short leaders with fixed weights reduce incidence of deep hooking in angled adult red drum. Fisheries Research, 71: 115–120.
- Burns, K. M., Koenig, C. C., and Coleman, F. C. 2002. Evaluation of multiple factors involved in release mortality of undersized red grouper, gag, red snapper, and vermilion snapper. Mote Marine Laboratory Technical Report. No. 790. 53 pp.
- Coleman, F. C., Figueira, W. F., Ueland, J. S., and Crowder, L. B. 2004. The impact of United States recreational fishers on marine fish populations. Science, 305: 1958–1960.
- Cooke, S. J., Barthel, B. L., Suski, C. D., Siepker, M. J., and Philipp, D. P. 2005. Influence of circle hook size on hooking efficiency, injury, and size selectivity of bluegill with comments on circle hook conservation benefits in recreational fisheries. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 25: 211–219.
- Cooke, S. J., and Suski, C. D. 2004. Are circle hooks an effective tool for conserving marine and freshwater recreational catch-andrelease fisheries? Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 14: 299–326.
- Cooke, S. J., Suski, C. D., Siepker, M. J., and Ostrand, K. G. 2003. Effects of hook type on injury and capture efficiency of rock bass, Ambloplites rupestris, angled in southeastern Ontario. Fisheries Management and Ecology, 10: 269–271.
- Dance, M. A., Patterson III, W. F., and Addis, D. T. 2011. Factors affecting reef fish community structure at unreported artificial reef sites off northwest Florida. Bulletin of Marine Science, 87: 301–324.
- Fox, J., and Weisberg, S. 2011. An R companion to applied regression, 2nd edn. Sage, California, USA. 448 pp.
- Fournier, D. A., Skaug, H. J., Ancheta, J., Ianelli, J., Magnusson, A., Maunder, M. N., Nielsen, A., and Sibert, J. 2012. AD Model Builder: using automatic differentiation for statistical inference of highly parameterized complex nonlinear models. Optimization Methods Software, 27: 233–249.
- Garner, S. B., and Patterson, W. F. 2015. Direct observation of fishing effort, catch, and discard rates of charter boats targeting reef fishes in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin, 113: 157–166.
- Garner, S. B., Patterson III, W. F., Porch, C. E., and Tarnecki, J. H. 2014. Experimental assessment of circle hook performance and selectivity in the northern Gulf of Mexico recreational reef fish fishery. Marine and Coastal Fisheries: Dynamics, Management, and Ecosystem Science, 6: 235–246.
- GMFMC (Gulf of Mexico Fisheries Management Council). 2007. Final amendment 27 to the reef fish fishery management plan and amendment 14 to the shrimp fishery management plan, 380 pp. Available from Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 2203 North Lois Ave., Suite 1100, Tampa, FL 33607.
- Grixti, D., Conron, S. D., and Jones, P. L. 2007. The effect of hook/ bait size and angling technique on the hooking location and the catch of recreationally caught black bream Acanthopagrus butcheri. Fisheries Research, 84: 338–344.
- Ichinokawa, M., Okamura, H., and Takeuchi, Y. 2014. Data conflict caused by model mis-specification of selectivity in an integrated stock assessment model and its potential effects on stock status estimation. Fisheries Research, 158: 147–157.
- Johnson, A. G., and Saloman, C. H. 1984. Age, growth, and mortality of gray triggerfish, Balistes capriscus, from the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin, 82: 485–492.
- Jones, T. S. 2005. The influence of circle hooks on the capture efficiency and injury rate of walleyes. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 25: 725–731.

- Kerstetter, D. W., and Graves, J. E. 2006. Effects of circle versus J-style hooks on target and non-target species in a pelagic longline fishery. Fisheries Research, 80: 239–250.
- Lennox, R., Whoriskey, K., Crossin, G. T., and Cooke, S. J. 2015. Influence of angler hook-set behavior relative to hook type on capture success and incidences of deep hooking and injury in a teleost fish. Fisheries Research, 164: 201–205.
- Linton, B. C., and Bence, J. R. 2011. Catch-at-age assessment in the face of time-varying selectivity. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 68: 611–625.
- Lukacovic, R., and Uphoff, J. H. Jr. 2007. Recreational catch-andrelease mortality of striped bass caught with bait in Chesapeake Bay. Fisheries Technical Report Series, No. 50. Fisheries Service. Annapolis, Maryland. 21 pp.
- Mapleston, A., Welch, D., Begg, G. A., McLennan, M., Mayer, D., and Brown, I. 2008. Effect of changes in hook pattern and size on catch rate, hooking location, injury and bleeding for a number of tropical reef fish species. Fisheries Research, 91: 203–211.
- Martell, S., and Stewart, I. 2014. Towards defining good practices for modeling time-varying selectivity. Fisheries Research, 158: 84–95.
- MSFCMA (Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act). 2007. Public Law 94-265 As amended by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Reauthorization Act (P.L. 109–479) through January 12, 2007.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2011. U.S. national bycatch report. Ed. by W. A. Karp, L. L. Desfosse, and S. G. Brooke. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/SPO-117E. 508 pp.
- Pacheco, J. C., Kerstetter, D. W., Hazin, F. H., Hazin, H., Segundo, R. S. S. L., Graves, J. E., Carvallo, F., and Travassos, P. E. 2011. A comparison of circle hook and J hook performance in a western equatorial Atlantic Ocean pelagic longline fishery. Fisheries Research, 107: 39–45.
- Patterson III, W. F., Cowan Jr., J. H., Wilson, C. A., and Shipp, R. L. 2001. Age and growth of red snapper, Lutjanus campechanus, from an artificial reef area off Alabama in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Fishery Bulletin, 99: 617–627.
- Patterson III, W. F., Dance, M. A., and Addis, D. T. 2009. Development of a remotely operated vehicle based methodology to estimate fish community structure at artificial reef sites in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute, 61: 263–270.
- Patterson III, W. F., Porch, C. E., Tarnecki, J. H., and Strelcheck, A. J. 2012. Effect of circle hook size on reef fish catch rates, species

composition, and selectivity in the northern Gulf of Mexico recreational fishery. Bulletin of Marine Science, 88: 647–665.

- R Core Team. 2014. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.r-project.org.
- Sales, G., Giffoni, B. B., Fieldler, F. N., Azevedo, V. G., Kotas, J. E., Swimmer, Y., and Bugoni, L. 2010. Circle hook effectiveness for the mitigation of sea turtle bycatch and capture of target species in a Brazilian pelagic longline fishery. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 20: 428–436.
- Sampson, D. E. 1993. The assumption of constant selectivity and the stock assessment for widow rockfish, Sebastes entomelas. Fishery Bulletin, 91: 676–689.
- Sampson, D. B. 2014. Fishery selection and its relevance to stock assessment and fisherymanagement. Fisheries Research, 158: 5–14.
- Sampson, D. B., and Scott, R. D. 2011. A spatial model for fishery age-selection at the population level. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 68: 1077–1086.
- Sauls, B., and Ayala, O. 2012. Circle hook requirements in the Gulf of Mexico: application in recreational fisheries and effectiveness for conservation of reef fishes. Bulletin of Marine Science, 88: 667–679.
- SEDAR (Southeast Data Assessment and Review). 2011. SEDAR 9 Gulf of Mexico Gray Triggerfish Update Stock Assessment Report. Charleston, S.C., 231 pp.
- SEDAR (Southeast Data Assessment and Review). 2013. SEDAR 31 Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Stock Assessment Report. Charleston, S.C., 1,103 pp.
- SEDAR (Southeast Data Assessment and Review). 2015a. SEDAR 43 Gulf of Mexico Gray Triggerfish Stock Assessment Report. Charleston, S.C., 193 pp.
- SEDAR (Southeast Data Assessment and Review). 2015b. SEDAR 31 Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper Update Stock Assessment Report. Charleston, S.C., 242 pp.
- Vecchio, J. L., and Wenner, C. A. 2007. Catch-and-release mortality in subadult and adult red drum captured with popular fishing hook types. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 27: 891–899.
- Venables, W. N., and Ripley, B. D. 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S. 4th edn. Springer, New York.
- Zeileis, A., Kleiber, C., and Jackman, S. 2008. Regression Models for Count Data in R. Journal of Statistical Software, 27: 1–25.

Handling editor: Stephen Eayrs